San Jose State University	
Academic Senate AS 18	70
Professional Standards Committee	
May 6, 2024	
Final Reading	
Policy Recommendation	
Board of Professional Responsibility	
Legislative History: This proposal would rescind S99-9 (Board of Professional Responsibility) and replace it with the following policy recommendation:	
respension, and replace is man are rememble pensy recommendation.	
Rationale: The policy establishing the Board of Professional Responsibility has not	
·	on,
	,
Freedom and Professional Responsibility were a single unit with a much broader	
charge. As a result, the current Board is difficult to staff and often cannot operate due	e to
lack of a quorum. Further, the Board has historically operated without sufficient	
collaboration with the University administration, which has curtailed its effectiveness.	
Thus, language about the roles of both the University administration and the Board in	1
resolving complaints about breaches of professional responsibility has been added.	
Finally, Amendment A to S99-8 and S99-9 established an independent Board of	
Academic Freedom, but the sections of S99-9 declaring the mission and organization	า of
the Board of Professional Responsibility were inadvertently deleted. This policy will	
mission and organization/membership, and address other changes in reporting	
structures and procedures.	
Since the first reading. Professional Standards has received feedback from the	
	า <mark>e</mark>
Senate. In response to this feedback, we have made the following changes:	
1) Updated membership to include Associate Professors and Senior Lecturers.	
3) Clarified language about how complaints can be referred to the Board has bee	<mark>n</mark>
clarified - specifically, we have clarified the primary role of the University	
administration, in particular (but not limited to) the Title IX and Gender Equity	
Office and UP-AER (University Personnel-Academic Employee Relations) in	
classifying and referring complaints.	
4) Emphasis has been placed on the Board's function in consultation and in seek	ing
informal resolutions whenever possible.	
5) Changed communication of findings section to require the Board to make a	
recommendation in the event that an informal resolution cannot be reached.	
6) Removed references to the BPR carrying out investigations.	
	Academic Senate Professional Standards Committee May 6, 2024 Final Reading Policy Recommendation Board of Professional Responsibility Legislative History: This proposal would rescind S99-9 (Board of Professional Responsibility) and replace it with the following policy recommendation: Rationale: The policy establishing the Board of Professional Responsibility has not been updated in 25 years, while the procedures and university offices for addressing breaches of professional ethics have undergone many changes in that time. In additing the current makeup of the Board dates to a time when the Boards of Academic Freedom and Professional Responsibility were a single unit with a much broader charge. As a result, the current Board is difficult to staff and often cannot operate due lack of a quorum. Further, the Board has historically operated without sufficient collaboration with the University administration, which has curtailed its effectiveness. Thus, language about the roles of both the University administration and the Board finally, Amendment A to S99-8 and S99-9 established an independent Board of Academic Freedom, but the sections of S99-9 declaring the mission and organization the Board of Professional Responsibility were inadvertently deleted. This policy will establish the Board of Professional Responsibility as a separate entity, including its mission and organization/membership, and address other changes in reporting structures and procedures. Since the first reading, Professional Standards has received feedback from the Provost's Office, the Senior Associate Vice President for University Personnel, and the Senate. In response to this feedback, we have made the following changes: 1) Updated membership to include Associate Professors and Senior Lecturers. 2) Added information about training requirements for members of the Board. 3) Clarified language about how complaints can be referred to the Board has bee clarified - specifically, we have clarified the primary role of the University administration, in

- 41 Resolved: That S99-9 (Board of Professional Responsibility) be rescinded and replaced
- with the following:
- 43 Approved: April 29, 2024
- 44 Vote: 10-0-0
- 45 Present: Barrera, Blanco, Chen, French, Kazemifar, Pendyala, Pruthi, Raman, Riley,
- 46 Smith
- 47 Absent: Lacson
- 48 Financial Impact: None anticipated
- Workload Impact: Some additional workload for the administrative office(s) charged with
- 50 directing complaints to the correct committees, and specifically for the Office of Faculty
- 51 Services and Academic Employee Relations (UP-AER) with regard to the establishment
- and posting of procedures, and the evaluation of findings that are proposed to be placed
- 53 in Personnel Action Files.

54 55 56		Policy Recommendation Board of Professional Responsibility
57	I. M	ission
58 59 60 61 62	er <mark>m</mark> S9	ne Board of Professional Responsibility (BPR) is charged with monitoring and insuring professional responsibility. BPR will evaluate allegations of professional isconduct and infringements of academic freedom in violation of University Policy 29-8(Professional Responsibility), except as noted in Section III below. To carry out is charge, BPR will:
63 64 65	1.	Monitor the state of professional responsibility at the University, and make reports and recommendations to the Academic Senate regarding revisions of policy and other documents relating to professional responsibility;
66 67	2.	Be available to consult confidentially with all members of the University on issues within the Board's purview (see Section III.3 below);
68 69	3.	Address complaints of infringements of academic freedom brought by members of the University, issuing findings as appropriate;
70 71	<mark>4.</mark>	Advise and assist UP-AER with complaints about breaches of professional responsibility;
72 73	5.	Review and adjudicate disputes regarding Student Fairness Committee recommendations, as described in University Policy S14-3, section VI.
74 75 76	<mark>6.</mark>	Review and adjudicate disputes regarding recommendations by the Office of Student Conduct and Ethical Development (SCED), as described in University Policy F15-7, Academic Integrity, in the rationale and section 5.0.
77 78 79 80	7.	Present an annual report to the Academic Senate relaying all essential information pertaining to professional responsibility cases, including but not limited to number of complaints, general categories, types of complaints, methods of resolution, and year to year trends.
81	II.	Appointment, Qualifications, and Terms of Membership
82 83 84 85 86	1.	BPR will consist of five faculty members-at-large, each from a different college/academic unit; membership is restricted to tenured faculty and Senior Lecturer faculty, with a majority of tenured professors. The members shall be appointed by the Academic Senate after recommendation by the Senate Executive Committee, selected through a nominating process.
87 88 89	<mark>2.</mark>	Nominees for the BPR must submit a one-page statement to the Academic Senate Executive Committee indicating their interest and experience. Preference will be given to nominees with expertise or training in conflict resolution.

- mediation, and other areas of BPR's work. Faculty nominated for BPR shall have a reputation for ethical behavior, and their integrity and honor must be held in the highest regard by their colleagues. Administrative members of the Executive Committee (the President and Provost) shall review the personnel action files (PAFs) of nominees and consult with the appropriate Title IX/DHR administrator(s) and UP-AER, re: any in-progress matters/investigations and/or other relevant concerns, prior to the Executive Committee's deliberations.
 - 3. BPR members will serve staggered terms of two years, with the potential for reappointment for up to two additional terms. The BPR will elect a Chair for a one-year term, covering the academic year. Members will be replaced by the process described above as their terms expire.
 - 4. All members shall sign a statement prepared by UP indicating that they agree to keep confidential all content of complaints, consultations, and committee deliberations. Committee members may not participate in deliberations until after having signed the agreement.
 - At the start of their term, BPR members will receive standard anti-bias and conflict resolution trainings. The appropriate trainings will be determined and implemented in consultation with UP.
 - 6. BPR members should recuse themselves when necessary to avoid the possibility (or the appearance) of bias or conflict of interest.
- 110 III. Complaints Containing Allegations of Faculty Professional Misconduct

97

98

99

100

101 102

103

104

105106

107

108 109

120

121 122

123

124

125

126

127

- 111 1. Complaints containing allegations of faculty professional misconduct in alignment 112 with University Policy S99-8 will first be evaluated by the appropriate administrative offices, such as Office for Title IX/DHR and/or Equal 113 114 Opportunity/Academic Employee Relations, and only those complaints deemed appropriate to the purview of the BPR will be referred to the Board. Complaints 115 containing allegations of faculty professional misconduct may initially be submitted 116 117 to any university administrative office designated by the University to receive such complaints and may not necessarily be referred to the BPR, particularly if the 118 119 complaint overlaps other policies and/or Executive Orders.
 - a. Pursuant to applicable Executive Orders and/or policies, a list of these offices shall be publicly posted by the University through its various platforms of communication.
 - b. Pursuant to applicable Executive Orders and/or policies, each office will assign the responsibilities related to these complaints to a selected representative in their respective offices.
 - c. UP shall designate a person to consult with and assist BPR with its responsibilities related to transmitted complaints.

- d. Should a complaint come directly to the Board concerning anything outside of its purview, it will immediately refer the matter to the Office for Title IX/DHR.
 - 2. Transmission to BPR. Various campus offices may receive complaints for behavior alleged to be in violation of law and/or CSU/SJSU Executive Orders and/or policies. After appropriate review, if the alleged violative behavior concerns only University Policy S99-8, the complaint(s) shall be referred to UP-AER (if not already in that office), for transmission to the BPR.
 - 3. Consultation. The members of the BPR shall, provide consultation to and shall consult with UP-AER involving complaints containing allegations of faculty professional misconduct. The primary goals of the BPR are to provide private consultation and to work towards the informal resolution to conflicts. Per CSU policy¹, all members of the BPR are responsible employees with a duty to report; thus the BPR cannot be considered a confidential resource (see I, paragraph 2 above). Complaints/requests for consultations (including pre-grievance consultations) received directly by the BPR that appear to involve, in any manner, allegations of protected status² discrimination, harassment and/or retaliation as defined by Executive Order³ will be immediately referred to the Title IX/DHR administrator/office. This includes complaints/requests for consultation implicating Article 16⁴ of the CSU-CFA Collective Bargaining Agreement. However, BPR members will hold confidential the content of complaints/requests for consultations and any subsequent committee discussions and/or deliberations in all cases that appropriately reside with BPR.
 - 4. In consultation with UP-AER, BPR shall develop and revise, as needed, procedures to process requests for consultation/complaints from receipt to resolution. Appendix A includes a list of suggested procedures. BPR's procedures shall be publicly posted by the University through its various platforms of communications.
- 155 IV. Alleged Infringements of Academic Freedom

- 1. University offices receiving complaints containing allegations of infringements of academic freedom in alignment with University Policy S99-8 shall transmit such complaints to the BPR within 10 working days of receipt.
- 2. BPR will develop and revise, as needed, procedures to evaluate allegations of

¹https://calstate.policystat.com/policy/12891658/latest/

² Protected Status includes Age, Disability (physical or mental), Gender (or sex), Genetic Information, Gender Identity (including transgender), Gender Expression, Marital Status, Medical Condition, Nationality, Race or Ethnicity (including color, caste, or ancestry), Religion or Religious Creed, Sexual Orientation, and Veteran or Military Status.

³https://calstate.policystat.com/policy/12891658/latest/

⁴https://www.calstate.edu/csu-system/faculty-staff/labor-and-employee-relations/Documents/unit3-cfa/article16.pdf

160	infringements of	f academic	freedom in	violation	of University	/ Policy	/ S99-8

161 V. Communication of Findings

- The findings of the BPR related to SFC or SCED cases shall be addressed as described in University Policy S14-3, Student Fairness Dispute Resolution, and University Policy F15-7, Academic Integrity.
- The findings of the BPR related to breaches of professional responsibility shall be presented to the involved parties in writing. Such findings may fall into three categories:
 - a. The BPR may find that a complaint is without merit or that the evidence is insufficient to determine that a complaint has merit. In such cases, the decision of the BPR is final.
 - b. The BPR may find that a complaint has merit and that a satisfactory informal resolution can be reached. In such cases, the findings and resolution achieved shall be documented and sent to the principal parties and UP-AER.
 - c. The BPR may find that a complaint has merit and is of sufficient gravity that an informal resolution is not achievable. In such cases, the BPR shall make recommendations for further action to the President. It shall document such recommendations by following the procedures for placing material in the PAF as established in the CFA/CSU Collective Bargaining Agreement.⁵

History

The procedures of this policy were first provided in S94-5 created by the Professional Standards Committee, and approved by the Academic Senate on May 2, 1994. S94-5 was approved and signed as University Policy on May 11, 1994. S94-5 was created to implement S93-12 on Academic Freedom and Professional Responsibility. S94-5 was slightly amended by F95-1 approved as University Policy on October 2, 1996. S94-5 and F95-1 were modified and reissued as a new policy (S99-9) on May 4, 1999. S99-9 was further modified by Amendment A to S99-8 and S99-9 on August 21, 2023.

⁵ See CFA/CSU Agreement 11.3: Any material identified by source may be placed in the PAF.. Identification shall indicate the author, the committee, the campus office, or the name of the officially authorized body generating the material.

188	Appendix A: Suggested Procedures for Complaints Containing Allegations of
189	Professional Misconduct or Infringements of Academic Freedom
190 191 192	The BPR is charged with developing procedures, in consultation with the UP-AER, to address and resolve complaints in alignment with University Policy S99-8 (Professional Responsibility). These procedures may include (but are not limited to):
193	1. Development of a process to examine and discuss an incoming complaint;
194	 Development of standards to determine whether a complaint is amenable to
195	informal resolution and, the process of conducting an informal resolution
196 197	 Development of a process that BPR will use to assist in achieving informal resolutions;
198	 Development of a method for determining the Board's final findings and voting on
199	them;
200	 Development of notification procedures including but not limited to the principal
201	parties and other relevant parties;
202 203 204	 Development of a process for the communication of findings to all required parties (see Section V above);