

# Fiction Writing Workshop Section 01

## ENGL 241

Spring 2024 4 Unit(s) 01/24/2024 to 05/13/2024 Modified 01/30/2024

### Course Information

---

This class is divided into two thematics, ghosts and dreams. The “ghosts” represent memory, how we are visited by history and our personal experiences and how we as storytellers metabolize these memories in the narratives that we create. The “dreams” represent imagination as it is manifested in experimentation with different narrative structures and surprises and the pure virtuosity of language. Within this two-part prism, we will take a tour of different styles of creative writing. We’ll look deeply at the ghosts and dreams of writers past and present, learning what’s been invented, what’s trending, and, ultimately, we will press forward with writing that is informed by other literature but that is all our own.

Additionally, we will discuss aspects of the writing profession, with visits from literary professionals to-be-announced. Topics of these discussions will include finding time managing writing time, finding and building literary community, finding an agent or publisher, and networking.

Students will workshop their work as many as three times during the term (2,000-5,000 words) and will also be required to provide written feedback to their classmates when their classmates are the focus of the workshop. The objectives of this course are to study and work toward establishing our voice(s) as writers, to learn in nuanced fashion the deep lives of our characters, and to learn how to creatively corral history and the unknown.

### Course Description and Requisites

---

Fiction writing as preparation for thesis. Study of canonical and contemporary fiction and fiction produced by students. Intensive workshop experience. May be repeatable for credit for up to 12 units.

Letter Graded

### \* Classroom Protocols

---

## Workshop Étiquette

Workshop is a place for respectful and constructive criticism. It is also a place where students practice articulating what makes creative writing distinctive, interesting, and fun to read. The author should take notes and remain silent as their work is being discussed. Spoken and written commentary from peers must always be directed at the work, not the writer. Personal attacks and offensive or inappropriate language will not be tolerated. Students who cannot follow these guidelines will be dismissed from the course. If you receive any offensive comments on your work, please let me know as soon as possible so I can address the problem.

- Be courteous, respectful, and tactful.

- Adopt the attitude of an editor for a publishing house whose acquisitions editor has already bought the manuscript that is being discussed: You will be working with this writer for some time. It is up to you not only to critique the writer's work, but to form a constructive professional relationship with the writer.

- Comments should contribute to a meaningful discussion. Brief and constructive comments are helpful. Purely negative, mean-spirited and redundant comments are not. Once you've made your point, you do not need to revisit the issue.

- Comments should come from a place of discovery—not judgement. You should read the work on its own terms.

- Comments should be well thought out. Be careful with the words you choose. Consider how what you say and how you say it may be received by others. Do not derail the discussion with a poor word choice.

- If you have something particularly insightful to say, by all means, speak up. Speak up first.

- When the instructor is talking, you should be listening.

- When another student is talking in discussion, you should be listening.

- Students whose work is being workshopped should not assume a defensive or combative stance. You should be listening for patterns and/or a consensus in your classmates' interpretation of your work and for ways to improve your work.

- Students whose work is being workshopped need not heed the advice of every comment made in the class.

- If your peers are using untoward language, tenor, or tone, you should feel empowered to speak up. If you feel safe doing so, let the person who uses such language know that what they are saying is problematic and/or discourages meaningful discussion. If you do not feel safe and empowered to do so, talk to the instructor about the issue.

- The instructor's policy is to address directly (i.e., in private with the individual) any issue pertaining to a student before airing the matter to the class.

-The workshop will not be effective if you are careless/insulting in your comments (written and spoken), and/or otherwise defy workshop protocol.

## Program Information

---

The following statement has been adopted by the Department of English for inclusion in all syllabi: In English Department Courses, instructors will comment on and grade the quality of student writing as well as the quality of ideas being conveyed. All student writing should be distinguished by correct grammar and punctuation, appropriate diction and syntax, and well-organized paragraphs. The Department of English reaffirms its commitment to the differential grading scale as defined in the SJSU Catalog ("The Grading System").

Grades issued must represent a full range of student performance:

- A = excellent;
- B = above average;
- C = average;
- D = below average;
- F = failure.

Within any of the letter grade ranges (e.g. B+/B/B-), the assignment of a +(plus) or - (minus) grade will reflect stronger (+) or weaker (-) completion of the goals of the assignment.

### **Program Learning Outcomes**

Upon successful completion of the MFA in Creative Writing, students will be able to:

1. Demonstrate a high level of ability to propose and complete a publishable, full-length work of literature in a primary genre concentration (fiction, creative nonfiction, poetry, or scriptwriting).
2. Demonstrate high level of proficiency to write works of literature in a secondary genre concentration (fiction, creative nonfiction, poetry, or scriptwriting).
3. Demonstrate an appropriate level of knowledge of literary history, literary theory, and craft and theory of creative writing.
4. Demonstrate critical and analytical skills in the evaluation and interpretation of literary texts.
5. Demonstrate a command of written academic English, including the abilities to:
  - a) organize and present material in a cogent fashion;
  - b) formulate and defend original arguments;
  - c) employ effectively the language of their discipline;
  - d) write under time constraints.

---

### **Department Information:**

**Department Name:** English and Comparative Literature

**Department Office:** FO 102

**Department Website:** [www.sjsu.edu/english](http://www.sjsu.edu/english) (<https://www.sjsu.edu/english>)

**Department email:** [english@sjsu.edu](mailto:english@sjsu.edu) (<mailto:english@sjsu.edu>)

## Course Learning Outcomes (CLOs)

---

This course addresses the following Student Learning Objectives (SLOs) approved for the MFA in Creative Writing:

- SLO 3: Students will demonstrate an appropriate level of knowledge of literary history, literary theory, and craft and theory of creative writing.
- SLO 4: Students will demonstrate critical and analytical skills in the evaluation and interpretation of literary texts.
- SLO 5: Students will demonstrate a command of written academic English, including the abilities to: a) organize and present material in a cogent fashion; b) formulate and defend original arguments; c) employ effectively the language of their discipline; d) write under time constraints.

## Course Materials

---

Required Texts:

*Best American Short Stories 2023*, edited by Min Jin Lee and Heidi Pitlor

## Course Requirements and Assignments

---

Workshop Ethos: Students will workshop their own original work no fewer than twice and as many as three times during the term (2,000-5,000 words) and will also be required to provide written feedback to their classmates when their classmates are the focus of the workshop.

We'll also read the work of acclaimed writers almost every week and we will examine what we've read through in-class discussion and student-led class discussions to "open up" the work from an artist's vantage point.

The objectives of this course are to study and to work toward dynamically weaving our histories, our banks of knowledge and our imaginations into the fictions that we create.

Workshop Procedure: After the first class meeting, we will make a sign-up sheet for workshops. Each of you will choose at least two dates when you want to hand in original work. Your classmates will read your work, provide feedback via Google docs or in a Word doc of at least three-quarters of a page in length. At the next class meeting, we will discuss your story. We will discuss up to four stories (from different students) per class.

Your commentary on each student story will address (a) your interpretation of the story (what the story is about, what the author is trying to accomplish) and (b) suggestions for improvement. A portion of your final grade will be determined by the quantity and quality of your peer commentaries.

Student-led discussions: One of the most useful skills you can learn in graduate school is how to lead a group discussion. Students will get their feet wet with this by leading a discussion of either one of the required texts for this class or a piece of fiction of their choosing. The discussion should run for roughly 15 minutes in length and should have as its focus aspects of craft within the chosen text. If the student has chosen a non-required text, they will need to provide either a link to or a digitized copy of at least a significant excerpt the piece that they discuss.

Revision/Final Examination:

In lieu of a final exam, you will turn in a substantial revision of one of your workshop submissions no later than the last day of class. Examples of changes that might be included in a substantial revision include adding or deleting a character, changing the point of view, inserting a new scene, and altering the ending of the story. It is not enough simply to correct the spelling and grammatical errors of the first draft. To earn a high grade on the revision, you must alter the story in some significant way. The revision is due the last day of class, but can be submitted earlier if the student wishes to workshop the piece and can find space in the class schedule for that.

Attendance at Literary Readings:

You are required to attend two readings by poets or prose writers presented online, on the SJSU campus, or elsewhere. The Bay Area is one of the world's great literary regions, where seven days a week one can attend a reading by a renowned writer at a bookstore, college, community center, or library. Readings are listed in the Sunday editions of the *San Jose Mercury News*, *San Francisco Chronicle* and on [LitSeen](#). After attending each reading, you will write a brief response paper (2 or more pages). The writing may be free form as long as you respond in some detail to any aspect of the reading, for example the speaker, the venue, the material performed, or the Q&A with the audience.

## Grading Information

---

Determination of Grades:

The Department of English reaffirms its commitment to the differential grading scale as defined by the SJSU Catalog (Grades-Letter Grading). Grades issued must represent a full range of student performance: A+/A/A-= excellent; B+/B/B-= above average; C+/C/C-= average; D+/D/D-= below average; F= failure. Within any of the letter grade ranges (e.g. B+/B/B-), the assignment of a + or - grade will reflect stronger (+) or weaker (-) completion of the goals of the assignment.

A+/A/A-: Satisfactory participation in 3 or more workshops as the writer; provided feedback to all writers being workshopped; one book report completed; triptych and reflection paper completed

B+/B/B-: Satisfactory participation in 3 or more workshops as the writer; provided feedback to most writers being workshopped; one book report completed; triptych or reflection paper completed

C+/C/C-: Satisfactory participation in 2 workshops as the writer; provided sporadic feedback to writers being workshopped; triptych or reflection paper completed

D+/D/D-: Incomplete: Satisfactory participation in 1 workshop as the writer; some class exercises completed

F: Almost no work completed

Final grades will be the product of the following factors:

Your fiction (2-3 new pieces and a revision) 60%

Peer commentaries 20%

Response papers on two literary readings 10%

Student-led discussion and participation in workshop exercises 10%

<i>Grade</i>	<i>Percentage</i>
A+	96 to 100%
A	93 to 95%
A-	90 to 92%
B+	86 to 89 %
B	83 to 85%
B-	80 to 82%
C+	76 to 79%

<i>Grade</i>	<i>Percentage</i>
<i>C</i>	<i>73 to 75%</i>
<i>C-</i>	<i>70 to 72%</i>
<i>D+</i>	<i>66 to 69%</i>
<i>D</i>	<i>63 to 65%</i>
<i>D-</i>	<i>60 to 62%</i>

## University Policies

---

Per [University Policy S16-9 \(PDF\)](http://www.sjsu.edu/senate/docs/S16-9.pdf) (<http://www.sjsu.edu/senate/docs/S16-9.pdf>), relevant university policy concerning all courses, such as student responsibilities, academic integrity, accommodations, dropping and adding, consent for recording of class, etc. and available student services (e.g. learning assistance, counseling, and other resources) are listed on the [Syllabus Information](https://www.sjsu.edu/curriculum/courses/syllabus-info.php) (<https://www.sjsu.edu/curriculum/courses/syllabus-info.php>) web page. Make sure to visit this page to review and be aware of these university policies and resources.

## Course Schedule

---

Class Schedule (subject to change):

Week 2: Introductions and Ghosts

Reading: Zadie Smith [“Fail Better”](#)

Exercise: Introductions

Week 3: Ghosts

Exercise: “Who Am I?”

Reading: [Triptych.pdf by Danzy Senna](#)

Take-home Exercise: [Triptych](#) (due Week 3)

Workshop: new work, 2,000-5,000 words, 3-4 student pieces per session

Week 4: Characters

Exercise: Triptych discussion

Reading: "[The Writer](#)" by Keenan Norris

Workshop: New work (2,000-5,000 words)

Week 5: Characters, Part II

Exercise: All Complaints Must Be Made in Writing

Reading: *Best American Short Stories 2023*

Workshop: New work (2,000-5,000 words)

Week 6: The Autobiography of My Other

Exercise: The Autobiography of My Other: [2-1.png](#)

Reading: *Best American Short Stories 2023*

Workshop: New work (2,000-5,000 words)

Week 7: Story Skeletons, history to concept

Reading: *Best American Short Stories 2023*

Workshop: New work (2,000-5,000 words)

Week 8: Story Skeletons, concept to completion

Author visit: TBD

Discussion:

Week 9: H.C.E.



Exercise: 3-page epic

Reading: "[Bullet in the Brain](#)" by Tobias Wolff

Workshop: New work (2,000-5,000 words)

Week 10: Dreams

Reading: *on craft* (*Electric Literature essays*)

Workshop: New work (2,000-5,000 words)

Week 11: Dreams

Reading: *on craft* (*Electric Literature essays*)

Workshop: New work (2,000-5,000 words)

Week 12: "it hath no bottom"

Exercise: TBD

Reading: "[The Aleph](#)" by Jorge Luis Borges

Workshop: New work (2,000-5,000 words)

Week 13: Opposites

Exercise: Opposites game

Reading: passage from *Copeland Cane*

Student-led discussions: On craft (any author, any text; 15 minutes)

Workshop: New work (2,000-5,000 words)

Week 14: Disassociations

Exercise: Visited writing

Reading: *Reed Magazine #156*

Student-led discussions: On craft (any author, any text; 15 minutes)

Workshop: New work (2,000-5,000 words)

Week 15: Seeing again

Exercise: Workshop on revision and editing

Reading: *Reed Magazine #156*

Student-led discussions: On craft (any author, any text; 15 minutes)

Workshop: New work (2,000-5,000 words)

Week 16: New work or revisions

Discussion: MFA thesis and literary goals; on literary agents, editors and trying to publish

Exercise: Reflection writing, progress and new literary goals

Student-led discussions: On craft (any author, any text; 15 minutes)

Workshop: New work (2,000-5,000 words) *or* revisions

Story Revision due