1	San Josá Stata University
2	San José State University Academic Senate AS 1874
4	Curriculum and Research Committee
5	Organization and Government Committee
6	November 4, 2024
7	Final Reading
8	
9	Policy Recommendation
10	Organization of the Academic Planning Process
11	at San José State University
12	at dan dodd dtato dinversity
13	Whereas: The policy "Organization of the Program Planning Process at San José State
14	University" (S17-11) is the campus guiding policy for performance review of existing
15	programs; and
16	M
17 18	Whereas: The term "program planning" does not represent the full scope of the self-study process, and "academic planning" is deemed to be a more suitable term; and
19	study process, and academic planning is deemed to be a more suitable term, and
20	Whereas: Academic planning represents a process of continuous improvement and
21	reflection in areas not outlined in <u>S17-11</u> , even though these areas were expected to be
22	covered in the department/school self-study (hereafter referred to as Academic
23 24	Program Plan); and
25	Whereas: Some of the terminology in <u>S17-11</u> around committee membership is
26	outdated; and
27	
28	Whereas: Policy <u>\$75-14</u> , which describes the program planning process and the use of
29 30	"consultants" (external reviewers), contains outdated terminology and does not describe current practice; and
31	current practice, and
32	Whereas: The use of external reviewers is documented in the Academic Planning
33	Guidelines; and
34	Whoreast Academic planning stone and presedures are deemed reare arrangints to
35 36	Whereas: Academic planning steps and procedures are deemed more appropriate to be documented in the Academic Planning Guidelines, be it therefore
50	20 documented in the Academic Flamming Caldemics, be it therefore

37 38	Resolved: that <u>S17-11</u> a policy.	and <u>S75-14</u> be rescinded and the following become university	
39	policy.		
40			
11 11			
12			
43	Approved,		
14	all but Part III.B, C&R:	October 21, 2024	
4 5	Vote:	7-0-0	
46	Present:	Marc d'Alarcao, Megan Chang, Stefan Frazier, Marie	
1 7		Haverfield, Melinda Jackson, Scott Shaffer, Cristina Velarde	
48		Hiu-Yung Wong (Chair)	
19			
50	Absent:	Sehtej Khehra, Raha Shojaei, Jessica Trask	
51			
52	Approved,		
53			
54	Part III.B, O&G:	April 8, 2024	
55	Vote:	6-0-0	
56	Present:	Andreopoulos, Baur, Chierichetti, Jochim, Johnson, Wright	
57	Abcont	Combarin Loo Long Muñoz Muñoz	
58 59	Absent:	Gambarin, Lee, Long, Muñoz-Muñoz	
50			
31	Workload Impact:	The optional college strategy meeting would add one	
52	Workload Impaot.	meeting every program cycle (~every 7 years) that will	
33		involve the Dean's office and faculty/staff from the relevant	
34		department/school.	
35		·	
36	Financial Impact:	None	
37			
86			
39			
70		UNIVERSITY POLICY	
71	Organization of the Academic Planning Process		
72	at San José State University		
73	•	at Jan Jood State Childenty	
74	I. Authorization of	the Academic Planning Process	

San José State University continually monitors, updates, and improves its curriculum through the *academic planning process*. While this process is mandated by a Trustee policy as found in the Chancellor's Memorandum AA 71-32, "Performance Review of Existing Degree Major Programs," SJSU's implementation of the process is also independently authorized, augmented, and supported through this policy.

II. Academic Planning Goals

Academic Planning represents an opportunity for each program's faculty to improve their ability to accomplish goals that attract them to their profession, including educating students, advancing their discipline through research, scholarship, and creative activity, and serving the community. By embracing rigorous internal and external examination of their program, faculty gain the perspective necessary to adapt to changing conditions, promote department/school health, and provide an excellent quality education for their students.

The four key goals of the Academic Planning process are:

1) To promote a continuous internal review and planning process that will provide programs with purposeful future improvement.

2) To serve as a vehicle to help programs support the mission of the university, college, and department/school.

To provide an opportunity for programs to systematically assess their course offerings, achievement of student learning outcomes, student success, retention, and graduation rates, and the faculty and instructional resources necessary for providing an excellent educational experience to students.

4) To provide an opportunity for programs to review their activities and how these activities strengthen the program and its goals.

III. Establishment of the Academic Planning Committee and its tasks.

A. <u>C</u>harge:

Implements the academic planning process, including the review of programs, as provided in the academic planning policy and guidelines. Recommends changes in the policy and guidelines and other matters relating to academic planning and review to the Curriculum and Research Committee (C&R).

B. Membership:

The Academic Planning Committee (APC) shall be made up of the following members:

- i. Vice Provost (designated) (EXO)
- ii. Undergraduate Education designee (EXO)
- iii. Division of Research and Innovation designee (EXO)
 - iv. College of Graduate Studies designee (EXO)
 - v. Director of Institutional Effectiveness (EXO)
- vi. Two faculty members from each academic college
- viii. Two members from the General Unit, at least one of whom is a library faculty
 - ix. One graduate student
 - x. One undergraduate student
 - xi. Staff member

C. Recruitment and Appointment of Members: Faculty members (other than exofficio) shall be appointed for two-year staggered terms. The student members serve a 1-year term. Solicitation of applications to serve on the Academic Planning Committee will be made through the normal Committee on Committees process for the seats designated for faculty and student members. When multiple applications are submitted for a seat, the Executive Committee of the Academic Senate will select individuals to serve. In considering applicants, attention should focus on the person's expertise in areas related to curriculum and academic planning and the need for continuity over time in membership for a portion of the seats.

- The committee shall elect its chair from the faculty representatives by majority vote. This may include the addition of a vice chair to balance the workload of this role.
- ii. All members, except as noted, shall be voting members of the committee.

149 iii. Members may be replaced for excessive absences or nonperformance 150 according to section 6.12 of University Policy S16-11. 151 152 D. Responsibilities of APC: 153 154 i. The APC reports and conveys its recommendations on the Academic 155 Planning Guidelines and process to C&R. 156 ii. APC will maintain confidentiality of materials including all information 157 provided to outside accreditation agencies or to outside reviewers, as 158 specified in the Academic Planning Guidelines. 159 iii. APC will establish its operating procedures for committee members and for 160 departments/schools undergoing planning as needed. APC is responsible for the review of all departmental/school academic 161 ίV. 162 plans. 163 Both C&R and APC can propose changes to the Academic Planning ٧. 164 Guidelines. C&R has final approval of these guidelines and conducts a full 165 review at least once every five years. Members are expected to know the current guidelines and academic 166 vi. 167 planning policy. 168 169 IV. **Scope of the Academic Planning Process** 170 Academic Planning includes both state-support and self-support programs. Each 171 department/school will conduct a review of at least the following elements: 172 173 A. Curriculum, including all undergraduate and graduate degree major programs, 174 credential programs, minor programs, GE and services courses, and 175 certificates offered within the department/school, and minor programs outside 176 the department/school required by a major degree program. 177 178 B. Student success services and initiatives. 179 180 C. Instructional and administrative staffing. 181 182 D. Research, Scholarship, and Creative Activities (RSCA). 183 184 V. The Process for Academic Planning

185

A. For all steps of the academic planning process, all departments/schools, whether their programs are accredited or not, will follow the Academic Planning Guidelines and Academic Program Assessment Guidelines (available through Academic Innovation and Institutional Effectiveness, AIIE), with all academic programs within one department/school participating in the same cycle, except under extraordinary circumstances as determined by the APC.

- B. Departments/schools with programs that are not subject to external accreditation will participate in academic planning every seven years. Departments/schools with accredited programs will participate in academic planning within a year after the completion of an accreditation review; any report generated by the accreditation review shall be included in the academic planning process. The APC will contact departments/schools with program accreditation cycles of eight years or more to receive an update on progress and determine the next steps.
- C. The reflection and planning phase of the process shall take no longer than four semesters to complete and will be organized by the office designated by the Provost.
- D. Reviews by external accreditation agencies are considered the equivalent of an external reviewer evaluation, provided that such reviews address all criteria of the Academic Planning Guidelines. The APC will make the final decision as to whether the criteria of the guidelines are met.

VI. Evaluate the Academic Plan, Feedback, and Final Action Plan

- A. The program plan is evaluated by the PPC which determines whether the review process was conducted in accordance with the published Program Planning Guidelines, and whether the plan represents a reasonable effort to meet the future needs of the students, faculty, and community. The Board of General Studies (BOGS) is responsible for evaluating the General Education portion of the self-study.
- B. After its evaluation of the program plan and BOGS review, the PPC may recommend one of the following actions:

223 • Accept the plan and provide recommendations to be discussed at the action plan meeting.

- Require revisions and resubmission of the plan for specific reasons.
- Initiate a program termination review (See University Policy S06-7, S13-9) for specific reasons.
- C. The PPC prepares a Letter to the Provost summarizing their findings and recommendations. This letter is copied to the program, C&R, and designated administrative individuals. Programs have the opportunity to review and correct any factual inaccuracies in this letter.
- D. For program plans that are approved, an action plan meeting is established and facilitated by the chair of the PPC. Invitees to this meeting include the Provost or designee, AVP of Graduate and Undergraduate Programs, AVP of Academic Budgets and Planning, Department chair, faculty and staff of the program, Dean and Associate Deans of the respective college, and additional administrators suggested by the Provost, chair of the program, or chair of PPC.
- E. At the meeting, representatives from the academic units provide updates since program review and clarifications to the Letter to the Provost. Participants at the meeting discuss the recommendations in the Letter to the Provost and any additional items. Participants agree to a final action plan with measureable goals for their next program plan cycle. The Director of Assessment will communicate to the Board of General Studies items from the final action plan related to General Education.
- F. After this meeting, the draft action plan (with clear deadlines) will be reviewed by the department, dean, and PPC chair for any inaccuracies and to ensure it reflects the action plan meeting discussion.

VII. Annual Assessment Reporting of General Education and Program Learning Outcomes

A. Programs are required to provide annual assessment updates between full reviews. These updates are to the Director of Assessment. Two separate assessments occur: one for GE courses within a program, and a second one for student learning and achievement of the overall program learning outcomes.

B. The assessment forms are created by the college assessment facilitators and the Director of Assessment.

260	
261	C. The Director of Assessment reviews these reports and provides feedback to
262	programs in between their program planning cycles.
263	